

APPLICATION NO.

10/621,071

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
H0003569 1262

08/10/2006 EXAMINER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Derek Raybould

Honeywell International, Inc. Law Dept. AB2 P.O. Box 2245 Morristown, NJ 07962-9806

7590

FILING DATE

07/14/2003

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

JOHNSON, JONATHAN J

1725

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/621,071	RAYBOULD ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jonathan Johnson	1725		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status				•	
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	ne 2006			
<u> </u>		action is non-final.			
· —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
٠,۵					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 18-20 and 22-37 is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-37</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>18-20 and 22-29</u> is/are rejected.				
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	)-152.	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>					
	•		ion No		
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>			tana	
	application from the International Bureau	•		tage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.		
			•		
Attachmen	t(s)				
· —	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	-		
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/621,071

Art Unit: 1725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 18-20 and 22-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants' new claim limitation of "0 to 12 wt%" Zr is not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, applicants state that "[s]upport for this amendment may be found at least in original claim 20 and paragraph [17]," however upon review of the cited sections, no support could be found for the upper limit of 12 wt %.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1725

Claims 18-20 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,149,051 (Vollmer). Vollmer teaches coating a braze material onto a base material, said braze material being a mixture of Ti, Cu, Ni powders comprising 25-80% by weight Ti, 12-24% by weight Ni, and 12-22%Cu, wherein the Cu/Ni is between 0.5 and 1.0 (col. 5, 1l. 28 to col. 6, 1. 45); and wherein the amount of Zr present in said braze material is from 0 to 12% (col. 5, 1l. 40-41, where Vollmer states that the amounts are "about . . . 15 -25 wt% Zr", which would encompass the claimed upper limit of 12 %), placing said base material with said braze material in a vacuum furnace (see examples and col. 8, 11. 6-26); heating said braze material and said base material for a given braze time to achieve thermal stability between said braze material and said base material, said heating being up to a temperature that is not more than a braze temperature of said braze material (co. 6, 1. 46 to col. 7, 1. 23 and figure 1-3 and examples); and forming a braze joint between said braze material and said base material (figure 3, col. 7, ll. 24-53 and examples); wherein said braze material is further comprised of a precious metal (PM), the (Cu+PM)/Ni ratio is between 0.5 and 1.0, and there is 54-76% by weight Ti (col. 5, ll. 30-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of M, wherein M is selected from the group consisting of Fe, V, Cr, Co, Mo, Nb, Mn, Si, Sn, Al, B, Gd, Ge or any combinations thereof (col. 5, ll. 30-40); wherein said braze material is comprised of 30-80 wt %Ti, 10-30 wt % Ni, 10-30% Cu, and 1-20 wt % M (col. 5, ll. 35-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of a precious metal (PM) and Zr, said Ti being 42-76 wt %, said Ni being 12-24 wt %, said Cu+PM being 12-22 wt %, said Zr being 0.5-12 wt %, and the Cu/Ni ratio is between 0.75 and 1.0 (col. 5, ll. 35-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of 0.5-12% by wt. Zr (col. 5, ll. 35-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of (a) wt % Ti, (b) wt % Ni, (c) wt % Cu, (d) wt % Al, (d) wt % Si,

Art Unit: 1725

(d) wt % Nb, (d) wt % Mo, (d) wt % Co and (d) wt % Fe, wherein (a):(b):(c) are in the ratio of 11:5:4 and (d) is between 0 to 10 (col. 5, ll. 30-40); wherein said braze material is further comprised of PM and M powders and said Ti being 25-80 wt %, said Ni being 10-30 wt %, said Cu+PM being 10-30 wt %, and 1-20 wt % M (col. 5, ll. 35-50); wherein said M is selected from the group consisting of Fe, V, Cr, Co, Mo, Nb, Mn, Si, Sn, Al, B, Gd, Ge or any combinations thereof (col. 5, ll. 30-50); wherein said braze material is further comprised of PM, Zr and M powders, said Ti being 25-70 wt %, said Ni being 10-30 wt %, said Cu+PM being 10-30 wt %, said Zr being 0.5-12 wt %, said M being 1-20 wt %, and the (Cu+PM)/Ni ratio is between 0.8 and 1.0 (col. 5, ll. 30-50); wherein M is selected from the group consisting of Fe, V, Cr, Co, Mo, Nb, Mn, Si, Sn, Al, B, Gd and Ge or any combinations thereof (col. 5, ll. 30-50); wherein said braze material is further comprised of Ti, Ni, Cu, Al, Si, Nb, Mo, Co and Fe powders (col. 5, ll. 30-50).

# IF IT IS FOUND THAT VOLLMER DOES NOT ANTICIPATE CLAIM 18, THEN THE 103 REJECTION APPLIES.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,071

Art Unit: 1725

Claims 18-20 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,149,051 (Vollmer). Vollmer teaches coating a braze material onto a base material, said braze material being a mixture of Ti, Cu, Ni powders comprising 25-80% by weight Ti, 12-24% by weight Ni, and 12-22%Cu, wherein the Cu/Ni is between 0.5 and 1.0 (col. 5, ll. 28 to col. 6, l. 45); and wherein the amount of Zr present in said braze material is from 0 to 12% (col. 5, ll. 40-41, where Vollmer states that the amounts are "about . . . 15 -25 wt% Zr"), placing said base material with said braze material in a vacuum furnace (see examples and col. 8, ll. 6-26); heating said braze material and said base material for a given braze time to achieve thermal stability between said braze material and said base material, said heating being up to a temperature that is not more than a braze temperature of said braze material (co. 6, l. 46 to col. 7, l. 23 and figure 1-3 and examples); and forming a braze joint between said braze material and said base material (figure 3, col. 7, ll. 24-53 and examples); wherein said braze material is further comprised of a precious metal (PM), the (Cu+PM)/Ni ratio is between 0.5 and 1.0, and there is 54-76% by weight Ti (col. 5, ll. 30-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of M, wherein M is selected from the group consisting of Fe, V, Cr, Co, Mo, Nb, Mn, Si, Sn, Al, B, Gd, Ge or any combinations thereof (col. 5, 1l. 30-40); wherein said braze material is comprised of 30-80 wt %Ti, 10-30 wt % Ni, 10-30% Cu, and 1-20 wt % M (col. 5, ll. 35-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of a precious metal (PM) and Zr, said Ti being 42-76 wt %, said Ni being 12-24 wt %, said Cu+PM being 12-22 wt %, said Zr being 0.5-12 wt %, and the Cu/Ni ratio is between 0.75 and 1.0 (col. 5, 1l. 35-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of 0.5-12% by wt. Zr (col. 5, ll. 35-45); wherein said braze material is further comprised of (a) wt % Ti, (b) wt % Ni, (c) wt % Cu, (d) wt % Al, (d) wt % Si, (d) wt % Nb, (d) wt % Mo, (d) wt

Application/Control Number: 10/621,071

Art Unit: 1725

% Co and (d) wt % Fe, wherein (a):(b):(c) are in the ratio of 11:5:4 and (d) is between 0 to 10 (col. 5, ll. 30-40); wherein said braze material is further comprised of PM and M powders and said Ti being 25-80 wt %, said Ni being 10-30 wt %, said Cu+PM being 10-30 wt %, and 1-20 wt % M (col. 5, ll. 35-50); wherein said M is selected from the group consisting of Fe, V, Cr, Co, Mo, Nb, Mn, Si, Sn, Al, B, Gd, Ge or any combinations thereof (col. 5, ll. 30-50); wherein said braze material is further comprised of PM, Zr and M powders, said Ti being 25-70 wt %, said Ni being 10-30 wt %, said Cu+PM being 10-30 wt %, said Zr being 0.5-12 wt %, said M being 1-20 wt %, and the (Cu+PM)/Ni ratio is between 0.8 and 1.0 (col. 5, ll. 30-50); wherein M is selected from the group consisting of Fe, V, Cr, Co, Mo, Nb, Mn, Si, Sn, Al, B, Gd and Ge or any combinations thereof (col. 5, ll. 30-50); wherein said braze material is further comprised of Ti, Ni, Cu, Al, Si, Nb, Mo, Co and Fe powders (col. 5, ll. 30-50). With respect to the claimed amount of Zr, it is the examiner's position that the amounts in question are so close that it is prima facie obvious that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. *Titanium Metals Corp. v. Banner*, 227 USPQ 773.

#### Claim Allowance

Claims 30-37 are allowed.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7AM-5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217/9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725